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Objectives
- Describe patient-provider shared responsibility while prescribing pain medications
- Explain the model of collaborative care and the challenges of setting patient boundaries
- Explain the steps of resolution
- Discuss a plan on setting boundaries in example patient cases
The Pendulum Swings...

- Deemed a human right
- Believe entitled to opioids
- Providers feel pressured
- Reinforces patient’s beliefs and reliance on medication

Risk of Opioid Overdoses

- Side effects/addiction
- Dramatic rise in opioid misuse and deaths from OD
- High profile deaths like Heath Ledger and Prince
- Identified by CDC as “public health epidemic”
- CDC released guidelines in March 18, 2016
The Current Evidence

- Research results presented are disheartening
- Best evidence for pain reduction averages around 30-60%
- Clinical trials have indicated comparable efficacy of numerous diverse treatments
- *Manumea* is a cousin to Dodo bird used in reference to “Dodo bird effect”

PM&R Common Factors Model

- Using models developed in other professions to inform inquiry in another field is appropriate and there is some precedence in the literature, specifically in physical medicine and rehabilitation (Miciak, Gross, & Joyce, 2011)
The “Dodo Bird” Effect

- References to concept of “common factors” in psychotherapy began as early as 1936 (Rosenzweig, 1936)
- At that time, research studies were concluding that all psychotherapies were effective and “all must have prizes,” a verdict later termed “Dodo bird effect” which references a scene from *Alice’s Adventures in Wonderland* (Wampold et al., 1997)

Common Factors

- In 1952, Eysenck announced his refutation, “psychotherapy does not lead to improved patient outcomes,” and research into efficacy of psychotherapy witnessed a resurgence
- Several meta-analyses illustrated absolute efficacy of psychotherapy (Andrews & Harvey, 1981; Landman & Dawes, 1982; Shapiro & Shapiro, 1982; Smith & Glass, 1977; Wampold et al., 1997)

- Two important findings have been noted from those analyses:
  1. Improved research methods did not increase the effects found
  2. Effect sizes were comparable across all treatments
Specific vs Nonspecific Effects

- These conclusions led to distinction of two possible mechanisms of psychotherapeutic change, specific versus nonspecific effects

- Specific effects were associated with unique interventions to certain therapy approaches

- Nonspecific effects were linked with contextual factors of clinical encounter

- Evidence from systematic reviews of diverse psychotherapy interventions indicate that factors common across therapies contribute more to treatment outcomes than specific effects (Frank & Frank, 1991; Wampold, 2001)

Common Factors

- Meta-analytic studies summarize psychotherapy outcome research and reduced the factors into four areas:
  - client factors (explaining 40% of the variance in outcomes)
  - therapeutic relationship factors (30%)
  - expectancy/placebo/hope (15%)
  - techniques/models (15%) (Hubble, Duncan, & Miller, 1999; Lambert, 1992)

- The Great Psychotherapy Debate (Wampold, 2001) concluded that nonspecific effects were responsible for more than 4x amount of variance in treatment outcomes across various interventions
Common Factors in Pain Medicine

- Was employed, which affects remission
- Had high treatment outcome expectations
- Was resilient and remained positive
- Was engaged in activities that were purposeful
- Was motivated to manage pain
- Had an internal locus of control
- Felt they had control over their pain
- Perceived having social support
- Believed in god or a spiritual power greater than themselves
- Did not experience any recent/daily life stressors
- Had positive beliefs and used coping strategies
- Had an increased readiness for change
Why Are Patients Deemed Difficult?

- Mistreated, robbed, or ignored
- Personality conflicts
- Social or financial problems
- Lack of trust, information, or communication
- Cultural differences/language barrier
- Cognitive impairment
- Severe mental health/addiction concerns
- Secondary gain
- System concerns: what happened today?
- Negative drug interaction

“There are no difficult patients, just patients with difficulties.”
Therapeutic Relationship Factors

In summarizing the findings from many examinations, it would appear that:

- Provider empathy plays a crucial role in pain treatment
- Providers who are warm are more effective
- Patients are more satisfied when they perceive they are respected by providers
- Patient suffering may be affected by acknowledgement of genuineness of pain
- Patient need to reframe treatment as acceptance of chronic pain
- Patient encouragement and instruction decreases pain and increases satisfaction
- The communication process influences self-management of pain
- Patient-provider relationship is significantly associated with outcome

Common Provider Failures

- Use jargon and avoid certain topics
- Too much information and assume understanding
- Patient afraid to assert themselves
- Make jokes and ignore how impacts patient
- Fail to explain a teaching hospital and/or clinic’s functioning
- Provider feels like a police officer, judge, or deal-maker
Expectancy Factors

- In summarizing the results from numerous investigations, it would appear that treatment outcomes were enhanced when:
  - Patients’ expect and believe treatment is potentially beneficial
  - Providers consider how placebo effects and regression to the mean improve outcomes
  - Providers consider the patient’s potential to be noncompliant and relapse
  - Patients are optimistic, have hope, and/or accept their pain
  - Patients feel providers believe their pain is credible

- Several common themes that are aligned with the pain medicine environment began to appear and reoccur throughout the search, including:
  - Sociocultural
  - Ethical/legal

Provider Relationship Expectations

Patient is expected by provider to:
- Be open
- Honest
- Obedient
- Motivated to get better
- Display gratitude
- Display pleasure at improvement
Patient Relationship Expectations

Provider is expected by patient to:
- Be thoughtful
- Listen
- Be empathic
- Be nonjudgmental
- Do no harm
- Be competent

Patient-Provider Shared Responsibility

- Model of collaborative care

- Known as “working alliance”

- Originated in MH (Greencavage & Norcross, 1990)

- Validated by strong research support
Patient-Provider Shared Responsibility

- Patients with rewarding relationships have:
  - Better outcomes
  - Less likely to seek assistance from other sources
  - Reduces the risk of conflicting treatment plans
  - Reduces risk of further confusion

Continuation of Care Plans

- Heightened interest in pain management

- **NEED** for appropriate boundary setting more apparent

- **NEED** for consistency of self-management message throughout disciplines
Gentle Art of Saying “NO”

- Sometimes what the patient wants may NOT be what they need
- Saying “NO” may be the therapy!!!
- Case study

Provider Training

- Communication is most important life skill
- Don’t usually put effort into this skill set
- 5 essential components:
  1. Really listen
  2. Express empathy
  3. Be concise
  4. Ask questions and reflect
  5. Watch your body language
Provider Training (cont’d)

- Communication training has been beneficial in improving relationship

- Essential elements of healthy relationship:
  - Compassion
  - Clear expectations, or *established boundaries*
  - Provider giving adequate explanations
  - Patient being active participant
  - Patient part of decision making

Boundary Setting

Boundaries:
- Simple rules or limits
- Created by individuals
- Identify reasonable, safe, permissible ways for others to behave around them
- Determine how they’ll respond when someone oversteps these boundaries
- Pain management requires appropriate boundaries
- Hard for providers to identify potential ruptures
Ask Yourself the Following:

- Is it hard for you to say no or yes?
- Are you ok when others say no to you?
- Do you take on other people’s problems or pain?
- Do you experience other people’s problems or pain?
- Do you share personal information quickly or slowly?
- Is it hard for you to share anything?
- Do you tell people in your life what you want, what you need, and how you feel?
- Are you able to ask for help when you need it?
- Is someone hurting or disrespecting you?

Difficulty Setting Boundaries?

- Boundary setting requires lots of thought and practice
- Providers learn little about this in clinical training
- To master skill, recognize:
  - Boundaries are not a threat
  - Not an attempt to control others’ behaviors
  - Setting limits improves relationships with patients
Practice Setting Boundaries

1. **Name** or describe the behavior that is not acceptable to you

2. **Express** what you need or expect from the other person

3. **Decide** what you will do if he or she does not respect the boundaries you’ve established

4. **Validate** your actions by recognizing that setting boundaries is important work and that your rights are important

Popular Media Example
Boundaries are **NOT** Comfortable

Providers feel uncomfortable during process
- When reasonable limits placed
- Continue to step beyond those limits
- Review what conduct is expected from patient
- Maintain boundary
- Review precise actions can expect from staff
- Be consistent with message
- Remember Step 4…setting boundaries is important work
- Remember saying “**NO**” is the appropriate treatment!!!

---

Boundary Setting Guidelines

- Establish boundaries or restrictions early on
- Be consistent and document
- Use policy/procedures as backup
- Review opioid pain agreement
- Use other tools available
Use Other Tools Available

- Pain education school
- Random urine tox screens
- Prescription state monitoring
- Opioid risk tools (SOAPP)
- Use a “decision tree”

Handling Patient Refusals

- It is the patient’s decision and right – they should take responsibility to make choices/recommendations available

- Providers are NOT obligated to provide opioids

- Providers ARE obligated to provide the best level of clinical care—1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs

- Goals are to maximize safety and minimize risk for patient and community

- Providers should avoid making decisions based on emotions and not facts
Case Study #1

- Patient comes to your clinic as a walk-in and is reporting lost or stolen medications.

**Name:** Walk-in and reporting stolen medications

**Express:** Patient’s shared responsibility for medication safety

**Decide:** Will not refill without police report

**Validate:** Consult local paper or prescription state monitoring

---

Case Study #2

- Patient **urgently** calls you with increased pain and then shows up to your clinic for an unscheduled appointment and asking for an early refill.

**Name:** Show up unscheduled with increased pain

**Express:** Emergent pain treated in ED or Urgent Care

**Decide:** Unscheduled visits should **NOT** be used for opioid increases

**Validate:** Patients deserve to have a full visit
Case Study #3

- Patient is upset and is making SI/HI threats after being told d/c opiates at this time.

**Name:** Patient is making SI/HI threats

**Express:** Concerns about patient, provider, and community safety

**Decide:** Call for police backup/refer to ED/refer to MH

**Validate:** Consult/debrief with other providers for support
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